The mesolayer of attached eddies in wall-bounded turbulent flows
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ABSTRACT
It has recently been reported that the outer peak in the second-order statistics of the streamwise velocity depends on the Reynolds number. Starting from this puzzling observation, here I propose that the streamwise velocity component of each of the energy-containing motions in the form of Townsend’s attached eddies exhibit inner-scaling nature in the region close to the wall (see figure 1). Some compelling evidence on this proposition has been presented with a careful inspection of scaling of velocity spectra from direct numerical simulations and a linear analysis with an eddy viscosity. It is shown that this behavior can emerge due to inhomogeneous turbulent dissipation in the wall-normal direction, and also enables one to explain the Reynolds-number-dependent behavior of the outer peak as well as the near-wall penetration of the large-scale outer structures in a consistent manner. Extension of this concept to Townsend’s attached eddy hypothesis further reveals that the self-similarity in the streamwise velocity of the attached eddies would be theoretically broken in the region close to the wall.

INTRODUCTION
It has recently been reported that the wall-normal location of the outer peak exhibit dependence on the friction Reynolds number Reτ: the wall-normal peak location y+peak in turbulent boundary layer and pipe flow was shown to be y+peak ~ Reτ/1/2 at least for Reτ ≤ 20000, and even the streamwise wavenumber retaining the peak was found depend upon the Reynolds number (Mathis et al., 2009; Vallikivi et al., 2015). This observation is reminiscent of the concept of ‘mesolayer’ defined for the construction of the mean velocity (Long & Chen, 1981; Aftal, 1982, 1984; Sreenivasan & Sahay, 1997; Wei et al., 2005). The introduction of the mesolayer originates from the observation that the inner-scaled peak wall-normal location of the Reynolds shear stress scales as Reτ/1/2 (Long & Chen, 1981), and the related mean momentum balance implies that the viscous wall effect on the mean velocity would not be negligible at least below the peak wall-normal location of the Reynolds shear stress (Sreenivasan & Sahay, 1997; Wei et al., 2005). Given the fact that the outer peak in the spectra of the streamwise velocity would probably indicate very-large-scale motion (VLSM; the long streaky motion in the outer region), the emergence of its Reynolds-number-dependent behavior indicates that this structure, especially the part below the outer peak, would experience some viscous influence of the wall. In this respect, it is interesting to note the recent observations on the influence of the large-scale outer structure to the near-wall motions (Hutchins & Marusic, 2007; Mathis et al., 2009; Agostini & Leschziner, 2014, 2016). The existence of such an inner-outter interaction in the near-wall region would require the large-scale outer structure to reach the near-wall region at least to some extent (i.e. the footprint of the large-scale structure). This indicates that the outer structure in the outer coordinate should extend more to the wall on increasing the Reynolds number, resulting in a feature which would be difficult to explain if the large-scale structure scales only in the outer length scale.

ABSTRACT
Figure 1. Premultiplied streamwise wavenumber spectra of streamwise velocity (a) in the λx+ = y+/τ peak plane and (b) in the λx+/h = y+/h plane. The contour labels in (a) are 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 times of each maximum, while those in (b) are 0.1 and 0.2 times of each maximum. Here, the dashed, solid and shaded contours are respectively from Reτ = 934, Reτ = 2003 (Hoyas & Jiménez, 2006) and Reτ = 5186 (Lee & Moser, 2015). In each case, the region of interest is highlighted with a box.

The speculated feature is shown in Fig. 1 where the streamwise wavenumber spectra of streamwise velocity of turbulent channel flow are given from direct numerical simulation data available at Reτ = 934, 2003, 5186 (Hoyas & Jiménez, 2006; Lee & Moser, 2015) where Reτ = uτh/v (uτ is the friction velocity, v kinematic viscosity, and h half-height of the channel). Not surprisingly, if the spectra are scaled by the inner unit, they all show very good agreement in the near-wall region (figure 1a; here λx is the streamwise wavelength). As the Reynolds number increases, the energetic part of the spectra exhibits a linear growth with y along the ridge λx+ ~ 100y (y is the wall-normal distance from the wall), indicating the emergence of a large number of self-similar streaky motions in the logarithmic region found in Hwang (2015). However, even in the near-wall region, it is important to note that the good inner scaling of the spectra appears only for λx+ < O(103): the spectra for λx+ > O(104) in the region of y+ > 50 become increasingly more energetic and longer on increasing the Reynolds number (the blue-boxed region in figure 1a), indicating the growing near-wall influence of the energy-containing flow structures in the logarithmic and outer regions at higher Reynolds numbers. This feature is also confirmed in the outer-scaled spectra (figure 1b). The outer-scaled spectra appear to scale well in the outer unit for λx > 1h and y > 0.2 ~ 0.3h, the region directly related to large-scale motions (LSMs) and VLSMs. While the spectra extend to the wall mainly along λx ~ 100y on increasing the Reynolds number, the part of the spectra at λx ~ 10 ~ 20h also appears to extend to the wall with the increase of the Reynolds number (the blue-boxed region in figure 1b), confirming that the near-wall part (i.e. footprint) of the large-scale outer structure does not scale in the outer unit and that it extends more to the wall on increasing the Reynolds number.

Given the wall-normal location of the near-wall part of the
Figure 2. Premultiplied streamwise wavenumber spectra of the streamwise velocity in $\lambda_i/h - y^+$ plane. The labels of each contour are given to be uniformly spaced from zero for comparison of the wall-normal structure of the spectra in the region highlighted with the blue box. Here, the dashed, solid and shaded contours are respectively from $Re_\tau = 934$, $Re_\tau = 2003$ (Hoyas & Jiménez, 2006) and $Re_\tau = 5186$ (Lee & Moser, 2015).

large-scale outer structure, the only relevant length scale for it to be properly scaled would be the inner length scale. We therefore repplot the spectra given in Fig. 1 in the $\lambda_i/h - y^+$ plane in figure 2. If each of the spectra at three different Reynolds numbers is properly normalized, the wall-normal structure of the streamwise velocity spectra at $\lambda_i \approx 10 \sim 20h$ exhibits good scaling with the inner unit in the near-wall region (the blue-boxed region in figure 2), indicating the near-wall part of the large-scale outer structures is affected by viscous effect of the wall. It is important to mention that, from the scaling viewpoint, this observation indicates that the wall-normal location of the outer peak should be an outcome of an asymptotic matching between two functions, one of which scales in the inner unit in the near-wall region (fig 2) and the other scales in the outer unit in the outer region (fig 1b), resulting in the Reynolds-number-dependent behavior of the outer peak.

This intriguing feature of the large-scale outer structure evidently reminds us of the concept of ‘mesolayer’ originally introduced for mean-momentum balance (e.g. Wei et al., 2005). It also leads us to several important related questions: What is the origin of the inner-scaling nature of the large-scale outer structure? Is it also observed in the self-similar energy-containing motions in the logarithmic region (Townsend, 1976; Perry & Chong, 1982; Hwang, 2015)? What is its consequence to the classical theory on the coherent structures (Townsend, 1976)? To answer these questions, here we carefully reinspect the linear theory used in our previous studies (Cossu et al., 2009; Pujals et al., 2009; Hwang & Cossu, 2010a,b; Willis et al., 2010), where optimal transient growth of small organised perturbations is calculated using the linearized Navier-Stokes equation with an appropriate eddy viscosity (Reynolds & Hussain, 1972). We subsequently show that the most amplified mode in the inner unit in the near-wall region (the blue-boxed region in figures 1 and 2) is given in the form of Townsend’s attached eddies (Hwang & Cossu, 2010b), revealing that the presence of such an inner-scaling region of each attached eddy should lead to theoretically ‘broken’ self-similarity of the logarithmic region close to the wall. The origin of this behavior is then studied by carefully examining the linearized Navier-Stokes equation, and we will see that this feature is essentially due to inhomogeneous turbulent dissipation in the wall-normal direction.

**LINEAR MODEL**

**Equation for a small-amplitude motion of interest**

We consider a fluid flow over a turbulent channel in which the streamwise, wall-normal and spanwise directions are denoted by $x$, $y$, and $z$, respectively. The two walls are set to be located at $y = 0$ and $y = 2h$, respectively. Density and kinematic viscosity of the fluid are denoted by $\rho$ and $\nu$. The velocity field in the channel is denoted by $u = (u, v, w)$ where $u$, $v$ and $w$ indicate the streamwise, wall-normal and spanwise velocities, respectively. Following Reynolds & Hussain (1972), the velocity field $\mathbf{u}$ may be decomposed into

$$u = U + u' + \tilde{u},$$

where $U = (U(y), 0, 0)$ is the mean velocity, $u'$ turbulent velocity fluctuation, and $\tilde{u}$ is the ‘organized wave’ of interest. If the amplitude of $\tilde{u}$ is ‘small’ and an appropriate closure is provided for describing the role of $u'$ in evolution of $\tilde{u}$, the equation for $\tilde{u}$ is given by

$$\nabla \cdot \tilde{u} = 0,$$

with the initial condition

$$\tilde{u}(x,y,z,t=0) = \tilde{u}_0(x,y,z).$$

Here, $\tilde{p}$ is the related pressure and $\nu_t$ is the eddy viscosity, for which the semi-empirical expression by Cess (1958) is adopted (e.g. Butler & Farrell, 1993; del Álamo & Jiménez, 2006; Pujals et al., 2009; Hwang & Cossu, 2010b):

$$\nu_t(\eta) = \frac{\nu}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{\kappa^2 Re_t^2}{9} (1 - \eta^2)^2 (1 + \eta^2)^2 \right] \left( 1 - \exp(\frac{|\eta| - 1}{Re_t/A}) \right)^{1/2} - \frac{\nu}{2},$$

where $\eta = (y - 1)/h$, $\kappa = 0.426$ and $A = 25.4$ from del Álamo & Jiménez (2006). We note that the eddy viscosity has a direct relation with the mean velocity profile $U(y)$ through the mixing length model: i.e. $\nu dU/dy = -u'v'$.

**Optimal transient growth**

Now, we consider a plane Fourier mode, such that:

$$\tilde{u}(x,y,z,t) = \tilde{u}(y,t)e^{i(\alpha x + \beta z)}$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the streamwise and spanwise wavenumbers, respectively (i.e. $\alpha = 2\pi/\lambda_x$ and $\beta = 2\pi/\lambda_z$). Since the linearized system for each Fourier mode has been found to be stable in all the canonical wall-bounded shear flows considered so far (Cossu et al., 2009; Pujals et al., 2009; Hwang & Cossu, 2010a,b; Willis et al., 2010), the amplification mechanism by $A$ is examined by calculating the so-called optimal transient growth of initial condition. For a given set of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the related optimization problem for initial condition is defined by

$$G_{\text{max}} = \max_{\tilde{u}_0} \max_{t} \frac{||\tilde{u}(t)||^2}{||\tilde{u}_0||^2}.$$
in the wall-normal direction is performed using a Chebyshev collocation method (Weideman & Reddy, 2000) with up to N = 1024 to manage the highest Reynolds number considered here (Reτ = 40000). Since an extensive discussion on Gmax was made in Pujals et al. (2009) and Hwang & Cossu (2010b), the focus on the present study will be given to the wall-normal structure of ̂uopt at t = tmax, which represents the most amplified flow structure by (2), in relation to its similarity to the observation made with the spectra (figures 1 and 2).

Relevance and limitations

Before exploiting the result from the present linear approach, here we address the physical relevance and limitations of the present approach. The linear theory in the present study has been found to be useful for prediction of the generation of the long streaky structures emerging in the near-wall, logarithmic and outer regions (Cossu et al., 2009; Pujals et al., 2009; Hwang & Cossu, 2010a,b; Willis et al., 2010). Large amplification of ̂u appears typically for α ≪ β, and the resulting velocity field ̂uopt is dominated by a streaky motion of the streamwise velocity. However, the linear theory does not appear to be fully relevant if the wall-normal and spanwise velocities are concerned. In real flow, the major flow structures of these velocity components are typically much shorter than the long streaky structure of the streamwise velocity: the streamwise extent of the flow structure with the intense cross-streamwise velocity fluctuations is only two or three times larger than its spanwise width (λz ≈ 2), whereas the streaky structure of the streamwise velocity appears with ten times larger than that (λz ≈ 10λx) (Hwang & Cossu, 2010c; Hwang, 2015). Given the fact that the linear amplification is large only for α ≪ β, it is questionable whether the linear theory would be fully relevant for such a short wall-normal and spanwise velocity structure. Indeed, it has recently been shown that the length scale of the cross-streamwise velocity component is rather well predicted by instability of the amplified streaky structure, which incorporates some roles of the neglected nonlinearity (Schoppa & Hussain, 2002; Park et al., 2011; Alizard, 2015). A recent numerical experiment further confirmed this by showing that the artificial suppression of the instability of the amplified streak significantly inhibits the generation of the flow structure with the cross-streamwise velocity components (Hwang & Bengana, 2016). Due to this inherent limitation of the present linear approach for description of the wall-normal and spanwise velocities, the primary focus of this paper will be given to the streamwise velocity associated with the linearly amplified long streak.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Now, consider the spanwise wavelength λz between λz = 100 and λz = 1.5h. For each λz, the streamwise extent of the streaky motions is chosen as

\[ \lambda_x \simeq 10\lambda_z, \]  

from Hwang (2015) where the streaky structures in the logarithmic region appear with the scaling given by (5). We note that, if λz = 100, (5) yields λx = 1000, the streamwise length scale of the well-known near-wall streaks. On the other hand, if λz = 1.5h, it gives λx = 15h, the streamwise length scale of the VLSM (the outer streaky structure). At each of the intermediate spanwise length scales λz between λz = 100 and λz = 1.5h, (5) gives the streamwise length scale of the self-similar streaky motions in the logarithmic region. For a further discussion on (5), the reader may refer to Hwang (2015).

![Figure 3. The wall-normal profile of the normalized streamwise velocity of ̂uopt (λx = 15h and λz = 1.5h): (a) | ̂uopt(y/h)|; (b) | ̂uopt(y+)/||d̂uopt/dy+||opt). Here, Reτ = 1000, 2000, 5000, 10000, 20000, 40000. The dashed line indicates | ̂uopt(y+)/|| ̂uopt(y+)| = 1/0.44ln(y+/5) + 5.2.](image)

![Figure 4. Wall-normal peak location of the streamwise velocity of the optimal response at t = tmax with respect to Reτ. Here, the solid lines in (a) and (b) respectively indicate y/h = cReτ−0.0109 and y+ = cReτ0.8081 where c is a fitting constant.](image)

Largest attached eddy: very-large-scale motion

We consider λx = 1.5h and λz = 15h from (5), which would represent the VLSM (the outer streaky structure) (Hwang & Cossu, 2010c; Hwang, 2015). Fig. 3 shows the normalized ̂uopt(y) at Reτ = 1000, 2000, 5000, 10000, 20000, 40000. If the ̂uopt(y) are plotted in the outer coordinate (figure 3a), they reveal good accordance to one another in the outer region (y/h ≥ 0.2 ~ 0.3). In the region close to the wall (y/h ≲ 10−2), such an agreement does not appear: the normalized ̂uopt rather gradually extends to the wall on increasing the Reynolds number, similarly to the spectra at λz ≈ 10 ~ 20h (figure 3a). Now, we plot ̂uopt(y) in the inner coordinate after normalizing them with the shear rate at the wall, i.e. d̂uopt/dy+|y+=0 (figure 3b). The rescaled ̂uopt(y) show very good scaling in the inner coordinate and can reach the logarithmic region at very high Reynolds numbers, consistent with the spectra in figure 2. Fig. 3 now clearly suggests that ̂uopt(y) is a function of only y/h in the outer region (fig 3a), while ̂uopt(y)/|d̂uopt/dy+||opt) is a function of only y+ in the near-wall region (fig 3b). It is evident that this feature would lead the peak location of ̂uopt(y) (denoted by ymax) to be placed in the overlap region with a scaling behavior involving both inner and outer length scales. Such a scaling nature of ̂uopt(y) is clearly an analogue to the construction of the mean velocity (e.g. Millikan, 1938; Tennekes & Lumley, 1967), and, interestingly, the logarithmic behavior also appears to emerge in ̂uopt(y) for the same reason.

Fig. 4 reports the peak location ymax of ̂uopt(y) with the Reynolds number. As expected from Fig. 3, the peak location ymax reveals the Reynolds-number-dependent behavior, and the best fit of the dependence of ymax on Reτ is found as y/h ~ Reτ−0.1019. The scaling of ymax is not very close to y/h ~ Reτ−0.5 observed in boundary layer and pipe flows (Mathis et al., 2009; Vallikivi et al., 2015), but this is not so surprising given the number of approximations
is important to note that the dependence of eddy viscosity, not fully physical initial condition, etc). However, it made for the present approach (e.g. linearisation, highly simplified with $\lambda$ and unit. Fig. 5 shows the wall-normal profiles of $\hat{u}_{opt}$ (or $\lambda = 6b$ and $\hat{\lambda} = 0.6b$): (a) $[\hat{u}_{opt}(y/h); (b) [\hat{u}_{opt}(y^+)/b[dy/d(\tau^+)]_{opt}$]. Here, $Re_\tau = 1000, 2000, 5000, 10000, 20000, 40000$. The dashed line indicates $|\hat{u}_{opt}(y^+)/b[dy/d(\tau^+)]_{opt}$ is approximately self-similar with respect to $\lambda_z$ and $\hat{\lambda}_z = 300$. Here, $Re_\tau = 1000, 2000, 5000, 10000, 20000, 40000$. made for the present approach (e.g. linearisation, highly simplified eddy viscosity, not fully physical initial condition, etc). However, it is important to note that the dependence of $y_{max}$ on $Re_\tau$ appears to be qualitatively the same with the experimental observations, and this is quite encouraging as it is an outcome of the inner-scaling nature observed in Fig. 3 (a).

**Attached eddies in the logarithmic region**

We now extend the present investigation to the logarithmic region by considering $\hat{\lambda}_z$ between $\hat{\lambda}_z = 100$ and $\hat{\lambda}_z = 1.5h$, as a spanwise length scale in this range would describe each of the self-similar streaky structures in the logarithmic region (Hwang, 2015; Hwang & Bengana, 2016). We first choose $\hat{\lambda}_z$ to scale in the outer unit. Fig. 5 shows the wall-normal profiles of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ at $\hat{\lambda}_z = 0.6b$ with $\lambda_z = 6b$ for $Re_\tau = 1000, 2000, 5000, 10000, 20000, 40000$. Exactly the same behavior is observed as in Fig. 3. When plotted in the outer coordinate, the normalized $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ at the different Reynolds numbers agree well with one another relatively in the outer region (figure 5a). On the other hand, when plotted in the inner coordinate, they collapse well into a single curve in the region relatively close to the wall (figure 5b). The logarithmic dependence of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ is also seen, although the wall-normal size of the related region is much smaller than that with $\hat{\lambda}_z = 1.5h$ (figure 3) due to the smaller $\lambda_z = 0.6b$.

Now, we choose $\hat{\lambda}_z$ to scale in the inner unit with the Reynolds number. In this case, the scaling behavior of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ becomes very different from that in figures 3 and 5. Fig. 6 shows the normalized $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ in the inner coordinate for $\lambda_z = 100$ and $\hat{\lambda}_z = 300$ at all the Reynolds numbers considered. In this case, all the profiles of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ are almost identical in the inner coordinate. This suggests that the scaling of the outer part of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ follows the choice of the scaling of $\hat{\lambda}_z$ (i.e. the size of the structure of interest). However, the inner part of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ always remain to scale in the inner unit, no matter how $\lambda_z$ is chosen.

In our previous study (Hwang & Cossu, 2010), it was shown that $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ for a given $\hat{\lambda}_z$ between $\hat{\lambda}_z = 100$ and $\hat{\lambda}_z = 1.5h$ is approximately self-similar with respect to $\hat{\lambda}_z$ (or $y_{max}$) as in the attached eddy hypothesis of Townsend (1976). However, more careful observation of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ with figures 5 and 6 now clearly shows that the self-similar nature of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ is valid only in the approximate sense, as the inner part of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ does not appear to be affected by the chosen $\hat{\lambda}_z$. In Fig. 7 (a), $\hat{u}_{opt}$ for $\lambda_z/h = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9$ at $Re_\tau = 10000$ are in the $y/\hat{\lambda}_z$ coordinate, and only the outer part of $\hat{u}_{opt}$ seems to be self-similar with respect to $\hat{\lambda}_z$ in this coordinate. Scaling with the $y/y_{max}$ coordinate (from $\hat{\lambda}_z \sim y$), shown in Fig. 7 (b), more precisely confirms that only the outer part of $\hat{u}_{opt}$ scales with $y_{max} \sim \hat{\lambda}_z$, and the near-wall part of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ does not show such a self-similarity. Instead, as $\hat{\lambda}_z$ is increased, $\hat{u}_{opt}$ extends more to the wall, indicating that $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ would experience incomplete self-similarity due to the inner-scaling nature.

**The origin of the inner-scaling nature**

The observations of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ so far appear to be consistent with the spectra in figs 1 and 2, so the naturally following question is what would be the origin of this behavior. A careful examination of the linear model reveals that the origin of the inner-scaling nature of $\hat{u}_{opt}(y)$ at least in this case is the eddy viscosity $\nu_t$ in (3). Indeed, as shown in Fig. 8, setting the eddy viscosity $\nu_t$ to be constant by $\nu_t = \max, \nu_t(y)$ inhibits the highly penetrating behavior to the near-wall
Figure 9. Dependence on the normalized streamwise velocity profile of the optimal response at $t = t_{\text{max}}$ on the Reynolds number with a constant eddy viscosity $\nu_t = \text{max}_y \nu_t(y)$. Here, $Re_t = 1000, 2000, 5000, 10000, 20000, 40000$. Note that all the profiles considered are almost identical.

In the logarithmic region, the Reynolds stress is constant and $dU/dy \sim 1/y$, thus the considered $\nu_t$ should grow linearly with $y$. What is important here is that this growing behavior of $\nu_t$ in the logarithmic region must be generic in any turbulence models for wall-bounded shear flows. In the near-wall region, both of the integral and dissipation length scales are $\delta_x$, indicating that the dissipation mechanism is dominated by molecular viscosity due to the wall. On the other hand, in the outer region, the integral length scale $(h)$ and the dissipation length scale $(\nu^{-}h/\nu_{\tau}^3)$ are separated with the extent measured by their ratio given by $Re_{\tau}^{1/4}$. Therefore, in the outer region, a vigorous turbulent dissipation through the energy cascade is expected, resulting in large $\nu_t$ from the modelling viewpoint. The only way to incorporate to manage the large disparity between the dissipation mechanisms of the near-wall and outer regions would be by having a smoothly growing $\nu_t$ with $y$, as in (6).

It is important to note that this feature of $\nu_t$ plays a critical role in determining the wall-normal structure of $\hat{u}_{\text{opt}}(y)$ in the linear theory. In the near-wall region and relatively lower part of the logarithmic region, the given perturbation at $t = 0$ would experience relatively small $\nu_t$, yielding relatively large $\hat{u}_{\text{opt}}(y)$ at $t = t_{\text{max}}$, whereas in the relatively upper part of the logarithmic region and outer region, it would go through large $\nu_t$, resulting in relatively small $\hat{u}_{\text{opt}}(y)$. This simple mechanism explains why $\hat{u}_{\text{opt}}(y)$ with $\nu_t$ in (3) is larger than that with the constant $\nu_t(= \text{max}_y \nu_t(y))$ in the region close to the wall, while being smaller in the region far from the wall. It is also presumable that such a nature of $\nu_t$ in (3) intricately linked to the inner-scaling behavior of $\hat{u}_{\text{opt}}(y)$ as demonstrated in Fig. 9. The crucial role of $\nu_t$ in the wall-normal structure of $\hat{u}_{\text{opt}}(y)$ suggests that the inner-scaling nature of $\hat{u}_{\text{opt}}(y)$ of the energy-containing motions would probably be an outcome of a scale interaction, because the wall-normal structure of $\nu_t$ in (3) essentially reflects the inhomogeneous turbulent dissipation affected by the multiple scales at different wall-normal locations. In this sense, it would be appropriate to interpret that $\nu_t$ in (3) describes a ‘minimal’ form of scale interaction.

CONCLUSIONS

The inspection of the spectra of the streamwise velocity and the linear theory in § so far has suggested that the near-wall streamwise velocity of the energy-containing motions in the logarithmic and outer regions probably scales in the inner units, while their respective outer part scales in the given length scale of interest. As the inner-scaling nature of a certain flow feature should indicate the viscous wall effect, this observation sounds quite similar to the concept of ‘mesolayer’ introduced for the mean momentum balance (Long & Chen, 1981; Afzal, 1982, 1984; Sreenivasan & Sahay, 1997; Wei et al., 2005). The linear model analysis indicates that the inner-scale nature of each motion would be intricately linked with the Reynolds-number-dependent behavior of the outer peak location in the streamwise wavenumber spectra of the streamwise velocity (Mathis et al., 2009; Vallikivi et al., 2015) as well as the near-wall penetration of large-scale outer structures. Furthermore, it would lead to ‘incomplete’ or ‘partially broken’ self-similarity of the energy-containing motions in the logarithmic region given in the form of Townsend’s attached eddies. It should be noted, however, that this is not necessarily inconsistent with the attached eddy hypothesis of Townsend (1976) who used the self-similarity of the energy-containing motions in the logarithmic region as the core of his original theory. In fact, the statistical structure of each attached eddy introduced by Townsend (1976) was built at the ‘inviscid’ limit by neglecting the viscous effect from the wall. On the other hand, the incomplete self-similarity in the present study appears essentially because the viscous wall effect (i.e. the inner-scaling nature) is incorporated in the structure of the attached eddies. In this respect, the incomplete self-similarity should rather be viewed as a consequence of viscous correction of the original description of Townsend (1976).

Finally, it is worth highlighting that the simple observation made here would enable one to consistently describe several important observations within a single framework: i.e. the viscous effect in the mean momentum balance (Sreenivasan & Sahay, 1997; Wei et al., 2005; Klewickeri et al., 2009; Klewickeri, 2013), the near-wall penetration of the outer structures via their footprint resulting the inner-outer interaction (Hutchins & Marusic, 2007; Mathis et al., 2009; Agostini & Leschziner, 2014, 2016), and the Reynolds-number-dependent behavior of the outer peak in the streamwise wavenumber spectra of the streamwise velocity (Mathis et al., 2009; Vallikivi et al., 2015), a viscous correction of Townsend’s attached eddy hypothesis and the resulting ‘incomplete’ self-similarity in the region close to the wall (present study), and the collective generation of turbulent skin friction by all the energy-containing motions (Hwang, 2013; de Giovannetti et al., 2016). In this respect, further evidence particularly on other velocity components and Reynolds stress would need to be presented in the future work.
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