LARGE EDDY SIMULATION OF TURBULENT DUCT FLOWS IN A LIQUID METAL MHD GENERATOR WITH NON-UNIFORM MAGNETIC FIELD
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ABSTRACT
Large-eddy simulation (LES) of the turbulent duct flows in a liquid metal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) power generator is examined to reveal the behavior of the MHD flows and the turbulent structures. The non-uniform magnetic flux density in the streamwise direction produces two eddy currents. The eddy current causes the wall-jet flows with M-shaped mean streamwise velocity profiles in the direction parallel to the external magnetic field. In contrast, the Hartmann flows with the flattened velocity profiles by the Lorentz force are produced in the direction perpendicular to the external magnetic field. In the case of the strong magnetic flux density, the turbulence structures similar to the Karman vortex sheets emerge in the downstream duct. The coherent structure model, one of the subgrid-scale models, well represents the relaminarization by the Lorentz force in comparison with the Smagorinsky model with the Van Driest's wall function. Turbulence intensities decrease under the magnetic field, but in the wall jet flows, the streamwise one is enhanced.

INTRODUCTION
A magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) electrical power generator directly converts the enthalpy of a flow to electrical energy without rotating parts (Rosa, 1968). When a magnetic field is applied to a liquid metal flow, the electric current is generated and the electric power is extracted via an external load resistance connected between opposed electrodes. Since the liquid metal possesses extremely high electrical conductivity compared to plasma, the energy conversion can be effectively achieved even for low speed liquid metal flows.

The experiments of the liquid metal MHD generators have been carried out (Maeda, 2003; Elliot, 1966; Satake et al., 2008), whereas the LES (Shimomura, 1991; Kobayashi, 2006; Sarris, 2007) and DNS (Satake, 2006; Boeck, 2007) of the MHD channel flows in the uniform magnetic field have been conducted as well as the MHD duct flows (Kobayashi, 2008).

In a practical generator, the external magnetic field is applied in between a finite width which depends on a magnet size. It is of great importance to clarify the influence of finite, non-uniform magnetic field on turbulent flows as well as generator performance. The M-shaped velocity profiles, the so-called wall-jet flow, were confirmed in experiments (Reed and Lykoudis, 1978; Andreev et al., 2006) and numerical simulations (Yamada et al., 2004; Yamada et al., 2005; Kakizaki et al., 2003).

In this study, LES with the coherent structure model (CSM) (Kobayashi, 2005) applicable to the MHD turbulent flows is conducted to reveal turbulent phenomena in a liquid metal MHD generator with a square duct to which non-uniform magnetic field is applied.

GOVERNING EQUATIONS AND NUMERICAL CONDITIONS
The governing equations of liquid metal flows consist of a continuity equation and Navier-Stokes equations with the Lorentz force for incompressible flows. In addition, Maxwell equations are solved simultaneously. In this study, there is a possibility that the magnetic Reynolds number reaches unity, so that we consider the induced magnetic fields \( \mathbf{B} \). An external magnetic field is applied to the generator only in the \( z \) direction, that is, \( \mathbf{B} = (0,0,B_0) \). Thus, total magnetic fields are set to \( \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_0 + \mathbf{B}_I \). The governing equations are listed below.

(i) Continuity equation:
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \] (1)

(ii) Navier-Stokes equations:
\[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}) = -\frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{p} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{1}{\rho} \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B} \] (2)

(iii) Maxwell equations:
The staggered grid is used for velocity. The equally spaced directions. The liquid metal flows toward the iso-surface of and the external magnetic field is induced toward in Fig. 1. The magnetic flux density imposed externally is determined locally without averaging and clipping. The model parameter is modeled by the coherent structure model (CSM) (Kobayashi, 2005) based on the turbulence structure. This model gave good results not only for the flows around the complex geometries but also for the MHD turbulent flows. The model parameter is determined locally without averaging and clipping. The model is expressed as follows.

\[ \tau_{ij} = -2C\Delta t^2[S]S_{ij} \]  
\[ C = \frac{1}{22}Fcs|\frac{3}{2}(1 - Fcs) \]  
\[ Q = \frac{1}{2}(W_{ij}W_{ij} - S_{ij}S_{ij}) \]  
\[ E = \frac{1}{2}(W_{ij}W_{ij} + S_{ij}S_{ij}) \]  
\[ S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \]  
\[ W_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \]

where \( Q \) is the second invariant of the velocity gradient tensor and \( E \) is the magnitude of the velocity gradient tensor. The iso-surface of \( Q \) is often used to visualize eddies. This model assumes that the energy transfer from the grid scale to the subgrid scale takes place around the eddies. \( Fcs \) is the coherent structure function, and its absolute value is less than unity.

The schematic view of the computational domain is shown in Fig. 1. The magnetic flux density imposed externally is shown in Fig. 2. The external magnetic field is induced toward the \( +z \) direction, and it has no distribution in the \( y \) and \( z \) directions. The liquid metal flows toward the \( +x \) direction. The staggered grid is used for velocity. The equally spaced grid is used in the \( x \) direction (\( \Delta x = 1.57 \times 10^{-5} \)) mm, \( \Delta x = 70.7 \)), and the stretched grid is adopted in the \( y \) and \( z \) directions (\( \Delta y, \Delta z = 2.48 \times 10^4 \) - \( 2.41 \times 10^4 \) mm, \( \Delta y, \Delta z = 1.12 - 10.8 \)). The grid size is 36, 64, and 64 for \( x, y, \) and \( z \), respectively. The time step is \( \Delta t = 1 \times 10^{-5} \) m/s. The U-Alloy (\( \sigma = 2.31 \times 10^6 \) S/m, \( \nu_m = 3.11 \times 10^3 \) m/s) is assumed as the liquid metal, and the electrode is made of copper (\( \sigma = 5.90 \times 10^7 \) S/m). We call the generation region located in between electrodes and \( x = 0.27 - 0.33 \) m. The central position in the \( y \) and \( z \) directions are \( y = 0.04 \) m and \( z = 0.04 \) m, respectively. The electrode has the lengths 0.063 m, 4.87 \( \times 10^{-3} \) m, 0.08 m in \( x, y, \) and \( z \) directions, respectively. The electric wire is connected at the center of the outer surface of the electrode in the \( x-z \) plane. The maximum magnetic flux density is 0.12T, and the external load resistance is set to \( 2.0 \times 10^6 \) \( \Omega \).

For the inlet condition, the fully developed turbulent duct flow at \( Re_x = 180 \) is given sequentially. The convective outflow condition is used for the outlet condition. The non-slip condition is imposed on the walls. The non-penetration condition is given for the insulated walls, and the penetration condition is imposed for the electrodes.

**NUMERICAL RESULTS**

Figure 3 shows the instantaneous velocity distributions in the \( x-y \) and \( x-z \) planes at 0.12T. When the external magnetic flux density of 0.12T is applied, the difference between the \( x-y \)
and \( x-z \) planes appears obviously. In the generation region between electrodes, the Lorentz force acts against the flow, and the turbulent flow is uniformly suppressed. In the downstream region of the \( x-y \) plane, the wall-jet flows emerge, whereas in the \( x-z \) plane the velocity distribution becomes uniform. The wall-jet flows never appear when the external magnetic field is uniformly imposed. The wall-jet flow is induced by the non-uniform, external magnetic field.

Fig. 4 shows the vector distributions of the electric current density in the central and the near-wall \( x-y \) plane. Note that the color shows the magnitude of the electric current density, but the same vector length is used for the purpose of easy view. In the central plane, the electric current flows from the anode to the cathode, namely, toward the \(-y\) direction. On the other hand, in the near-wall plane, the electric current inversely flows. This inverse flow is due to the low velocity near the wall in Eq. (4).

Figures 5 and 6 show the schematic view of the direction and the magnitude of the primal electric current and Lorentz force. We can see two eddy currents in the upstream and downstream regions, and the eddy currents give the different orientation to the Lorentz force in the \( y \) direction.

We compared the viscosity between CSM and Smagorinsky model with the van Driest’s wall function in Fig. 7. In the wall jet region, the Smagorinsky model gives high turbulent viscosity in connection with the strong mean velocity gradient, whereas the CSM predicts relaminarization properly.

Let us compare the mean streamwise velocity profiles in the central \( x-y \) and \( x-z \) planes for various \( x \) positions indicated in Fig. 8. Figure 9 shows the mean streamwise velocity profiles for 0T and 0.12T. The mean velocity profiles in the central \( x-y \) and \( x-z \) planes are no difference at 0T. In contrast, at 0.12T the so-called M-shaped profiles are shown in the central \( x-y \) plane. Those are the wall-jet flows. In the central \( x-z \) plane, velocity profiles at the center of duct are flattened in the downstream region. However, the near-wall velocity profiles in the generation region deviate from the conventional one. The boundary layer is called the Hartman layer with an exponential distribution.
Figures 10 and 11 show the Reynolds stress profiles and streamwise turbulence intensities. The Reynolds stress is suppressed to be nearly zero at all measured points. The streamwise turbulence intensities are also suppressed gradually from inlet to outlet. In the wall-jet region, we can see the enhancement of turbulence intensity (orange line).

Figure 12 shows the turbulence structures for 0.12T and 0.06T. The iso-surface of the second invariant $Q = 0.02$ is visualized in the left figures and in addition the iso-surface of $u^+ v^+ = \pm 5.0$ is shown in the right figures. For 0.12T, the eddy structures are suppressed in the generation region, and from the downstream end of the electrode Karman vortex sheets emerge and the turbulence structure align in the direction where the external magnetic field is applied. For 0.06T, the suppression of eddies becomes weak.

**SUMMARY**

In order to clarify the turbulence phenomena in the liquid metal MHD power generator, LES was carried out, and the behavior of the MHD flows and the turbulence structures were examined. The following summary was drawn.

The non-uniform magnetic flux density in the streamwise direction produces the eddy currents in the upstream and downstream regions. The eddy current causes the Hartmann flow with the flattened velocity profiles in the direction perpendicular to the external magnetic field and the M-shaped velocity profiles in the direction parallel to the external magnetic field. The Reynolds stress is reduced to be zero, and streamwise turbulence intensities are decrease from inlet to outlet. In the wall-jet region, it is found that the streamwise
turbulence intensity is enhanced. Turbulence suppression increases in the magnetic flux density. The strong magnetic field, however, develops the turbulence structure similar to the Karman vortex sheets for Reynolds stress. Furthermore, it is confirmed that the coherent structure model of the subgrid scale model represents the relaminarization better than the Smagorinsky model.
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(a) Bz0 = 0.12T

(b) Bz0 = 0.06T

Figure 12 Turbulence structures at (a) 0.12T and (b) 0.06T; left: second invariant Q=0.02; right: $u'v'=\pm5.0$. 