DNS AND LES OF CAVITATING TURBULENT FLOW
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ABSTRACT
The two-way interaction between cavitation and turbulence was investigated by the direct numerical simulation of a spatially-developing mixing layer. Namely, the vortical structure and turbulence were compared between cases of single-phase and cavitation conditions. Cavitation mainly occurs in the regions of low pressure which are corresponding to vortices. In the braid region, turbulence intensity tends to decrease in comparison with the non-cavitating condition. This decreasing is explained by suppressed energy redistribution by less pressure fluctuation and vortex modification under the cavitating condition. In the fully developed region, on the other hand, collapse of cavity causes velocity fluctuation, so turbulence intensity tends to increase in comparison with single phase flow.

OUTLINE OF COMPUTATION
The procedure including cavitation model and numerical method should fit in with the spatio-temporal scale of unsteady motion of vortices in the turbulent shear layer. In this study, we apply the method developed by Okita and Kajishima (2002).

GOVERNING EQUATION
Hereafter, all variables are non-dimensionalized by a characteristic length $H$, velocity $u_\infty$, and the liquid density $\rho_{L\infty}$ at a sufficiently far position. The flow field is assumed to be isothermal. A low-Mach number assumption (Inagaki, 2000) is applied considering the weak compressibility of liquid.

The governing equations are the conservation laws of mass and momentum of homogeneous mixture of liquid and cavity:

\[ \frac{Df_L}{Dt} + f_L \left( M^2 \frac{Dp}{Dt} + \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} \right) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

\[ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} = - \frac{1}{f_L} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{Re} \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $u_i$ is the velocity component, $p$ the pressure, and $f_L$ the volumetric fraction of liquid. A Mach number $M(= u_\infty/c, \ c$ the sound speed) is given uniformly in a computational domain.

INTRODUCTION
Flows in hydro-machineries are affected by various types of cavitation, and most of them are in turbulence. To simulate cavitating turbulent flows, a variety of methods have been developed. Most of them adopt RANS to deal with turbulence (Courier-Delgosha, 2003; Kunz, 2000; Senocak, 2002). This method, however, is model-dependent, so it is not useful for understanding of interaction between turbulence and cavitation. Beside, numerical method with RANS is time-averaged, so large-scale unsteadiness in cavitating flow field cannot be considered. On the other hand, LES or DES has become practical tools for unsteady cavitating flows (Ugajin, 2006; Wang, 2007; Wienken, 2006; Yamanishi, 2007). These methods, however, have not taken account of cavitation which occurs in fine-scale elementary vortices, because they are mostly in subgrid scale (SGS). This could reduce the accuracy in predicting turbulent modulation by cavitation as well as cavitation inception (Arndt, 2002). In this situation, we aim at development of cavitation LES model which takes into account cavitation in elementary vortices.

We are going to model the modulation in kinetic energy and dissipation rate of SGS elementary vortices corresponding to cavitation inception or contraction. In this study, we investigate interaction between cavitation and turbulence for LES modeling. We select spatially-developing turbulent mixing layer as the flow field. Mixing layer is a typical free turbulence and there are a lot of theoretical, experimental and numerical investigations for single phase flow. Reducing the cavitation number, interaction between cavitation and typical free turbulence is observed.
Cavitation Model

In the present study, we used the following cavitation model
\[
\frac{Df_L}{Dt} = [C_g(1 - f_L) + C_f f_L](p - p_v).
\]
This model is a modification of Chen's model (Chen, 1995), which is based on the analytic consideration of Rayleigh-Plesset equation. This equation simply means that cavitation region will expand when pressure \( p \) is lower than saturated vapor pressure \( p_v \), whereas it will contract when \( p \) is higher than \( p_v \). The model constants are \( C_g = 100 \) and \( C_l = 1 \). Kajishima et al. (2007) refer some details of derivation of this model.

The saturated vapor pressure \( p_v \) is given by
\[
\sigma = \frac{p_\infty - p_v}{\rho_L u_\infty^2}
\]
corresponding to the cavitation number \( \sigma \), where \( p_\infty \) and \( \rho_L \) are the pressure and liquid density at far distance.

Numerical Methods

The method of unsteady numerical simulation is based on the fractional step method for incompressible flow. The convective term and viscous term are discretized by central finite difference of 2nd order accuracy. Adams-Bashforth method of 2nd order accuracy is applied for time marching of these terms.

The pressure equation
\[
\frac{Df_L}{Dt} + f_L \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + u \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_j}{\partial x} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right\} - \Delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{f_L} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \right) = 0
\]
where \( \tilde{u}_j \) is fractional step and is derived from equation 1, is discretized using 3-step method for time difference and 2nd order central difference for space. Eq. 5 is converted by the relaxation method. Then using the pressure calculated from above procedure, the velocity at next step is directed by adding the pressure gradient to the fractional step and time marching is completed. Time marching for liquid volumetric fraction \( f_L \) is semi-implicit scheme and conducted for two-stage. Readers can find a detail of our numerical method in Okita and Kajishima (2002).

Computational Condition

The flow field is a spatially-developing turbulent mixing layer as shown in Figure 1. The height \( H \) is selected for the length scale. The size of the domain is \( H_x = 10H \) in the mainstream direction and \( H_z = H \) in the spanwise direction. The periodicity is assumed in the spanwise direction. In this computation, velocity ratio \( U_1/U_2 \) is 2. The mean velocity difference \( \Delta U = U_1 - U_2 \) is used for the velocity scale. In a mixing layer, primary roll-cell vortices are generated due to Kelvin-Helmholtz instability. In the stretched region between two neighboring vortices, streamwise (rib) vortices are caused by the secondary instability.

The inflow condition consists of the mean velocity given by a hyperbolic-tangent profile, on which three-dimensional random perturbations are superposed:
\[
u(0, y, z, t) = \frac{U_1 + U_2}{2} + \frac{U_1 - U_2}{2} \tanh \left( \frac{2y}{H_y} \right) + w'(y, z, t)
\]
TURBULENT MODULATION BY CAVITATION

Here, we analyze these DNS database under cavitating and non-cavitating conditions to investigate the interaction between cavitation and turbulence. Now we take a closer look at two different cross-section in the flow field: $x/H = 2$ and 6, respectively. Cross-section $x/H = 2$ represents the braid region, where streamwise vortices are stretched between two K-H rollers. Cross-section $x/H = 6$, on the other hand, represents the region where turbulence are fully developed and cavity generated in the upstream region collapses one after another. In the following sections, we discuss the modulation of turbulence intensity at these two different cross-sections.

Decreasing of Turbulence Intensity (Upstream region)

Figure 4 represents the distributions of normal components of Reynolds stress $R_{11}$ (turbulence intensity) and shear component $R_{12}$ along $y$-direction in the cross-section $x/H = 2$. Hereafter, each Reynolds stress component is based on Favre average considered the fluctuation of liquid volumetric fraction $f_L$. Figure 3 (a) shows the time evolution of cavity area passing through this cross-section. In this cross-section, low-pressure areas in streamwise vortices are developed, so cavity mainly occurs corresponding to the streamwise vortices. At the shear layer, $R_{22}$ and $R_{33}$ decrease in comparison with single-phase flow. Suppressed pressure fluctuation and modulation of vortices decrease Reynolds stress.

**Suppressed Pressure Fluctuation.** Pressure is kept at saturated vapor pressure in cavitating region, so pressure fluctuation is less than that of single-phase flow. Under the cavitating condition, therefore, energy redistribution from $R_{11}$ to $R_{22}$ and $R_{33}$ by pressure fluctuation is suppressed.

To confirm previous-mentioned effect, we focus on the pressure-strain correlation term. Figure 6 represents distribution of diagonal components of pressure-strain correlation term $\Pi_{ii}$ at $x/H = 2$. In these figures, negative value means distribution ‘to’ other components, and positive value means distribution ‘from’ other components. $\Pi_{11}$ and $\Pi_{22}$ decrease compared with single phase. Decreasing of $\Pi_{22}$ relates to decreasing of $R_{22}$. On the other hand, $R_{11}$ remain almost invariant while $\Pi_{11}$ increases towards positive side. When $\Pi_{11}$ decreases, $R_{11}$ is also to decrease. On the other hand, when $R_{22}$ decreases, shear component $R_{12}$ also decreases through production term $P_{12} = -R_{22}\partial \tilde{u}/\partial y$. Then $R_{11}$ decreases through production term $P_{11} = -2R_{12}\partial \tilde{u}/\partial y$ when $R_{12}$ decreases (figure 4(d)). $R_{11}$ remains almost invariant because these two effects are balanced.

Suppressed energy redistribution by less pressure fluctuation can explain the shift of $R_{11}$ and $R_{22}$, but shift of $R_{33}$ can’t be explained by this viewpoint because there is no difference of $\Pi_{33}$ between cavitating and non-cavitating conditions.

---

**Figure 2:** Instantaneous contours of vortices and cavitation indicated by $Q = 230$ isosurface (gray) and isosurface of $f_L = 0.999$ (white).

**Figure 3:** Time evolution of cavity area.
Figure 4: Modification of Reynolds stress profiles by the cavitation at $x/H = 2$ (Solid line: single phase flow, dotted line: cavitating flow).

Figure 5: Modification of Reynolds stress profiles by the cavitation at $x/H = 6$ (Solid line: single phase flow, dotted line: cavitating flow).
study, we conducted a DNS of cavitation in a single vortex, and confirmed that circumferential velocity and vorticity of the vortex is decreased by cavity expansion (Kajishima et al, 2007). This interaction phenomenon between cavity and vortex is represented by a simple model, which is based on the assumption of constant circulation due to sudden cavity expansion (Kajishima et al., 2007).

These points of view, (a) and (b), can explain decrease of $R_{22}$ and $R_{33}$ shown in figure 4: circumferential velocity of streamwise vortices corresponds to components of $R_{22}$ and $R_{33}$. As for (b), K-H rollers are also weakened by cavity expansion, so $R_{11}$ and $R_{22}$ decrease in the region where cavity occurs in roll-cell vortices (figure omitted).

**Increasing of Turbulence Intensity (Downstream region)**

In the downstream region, on the other hand, turbulence intensity tends to increase in comparison with single-phase flow. Figure 5 represents the distributions of normal and shear components of Reynolds stress in the cross-section $x/H = 6$. In figure 5, three normal components tend to increase in comparison with single-phase flow. Figure 3 (b) shows the time evolution of cavity area passing through this cross-section. Cavity generated in the upstream region collapses one after another in this region. Collapse of cavity causes fluctuation of velocity. That’s why the turbulence intensity are increased in the downstream region.

**CONCLUSION**

The interaction between cavitation and turbulence is investigated by DNS. The DNS results suggest that turbulence intensity decreased in actively cavitating region compared with non-cavitating condition. This decreasing is explained by suppressed energy redistribution by less pressure fluctuation and vortex modification. In the region where cavity collapsing is dominant rather than cavity generating, turbulence intensity tends to increase.

In this computation, we could observe that a typical free turbulence is modulated by cavitation. We will propose a cavitation LES model which is based on One-equation dynamic model (Kajishima & Nomachi, 2003). In One-equation dynamic model, turbulent energy $K_{SGS}$ transport equation is dealt with to obtain the eddy-viscosity dynamically. This enables us to introduce the cavitation effect to the SGS flow field as the source term of $K_{SGS}$ transport equation. We must consider two-way interaction when formulating the source term: namely, the prediction of cavitation inception due to the SGS vortices and the source of turbulence energy due to the cavitation. In the present study, the latter part is particularly highlighted. The flow around the cavitating vortex has been captured by our former work (Kajishima et al., 2007) and it is used for the extra term in $K_{SGS}$ equation. Then this concept will be evaluated in a priori manner by filtering the DNS database.
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