VELOCITY DERIVATIVES IN TURBULENT FLOW FROM 3D-PTV MEASUREMENTS
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ABSTRACT

Velocity derivatives play an outstanding role in the dynamics of turbulence for a number of reasons. Their importance became especially clear since the papers by Taylor (1938) and Kolmogorov (1941). Taylor emphasized the role of vorticity, whereas Kolmogorov stressed the importance of dissipation, and thereby of strain.

The field of velocity derivatives is very sensitive to the non-Gaussian nature of turbulence or more generally to its structure, and hence reflects a lot of its physics. From the momentum equation it follows that the whole flow field is entirely determined by the field of vorticity, or by that of strain. Therefore, in Lagrangian description, in a frame following a fluid particle, everything happening in its proximity is characterized by the velocity gradient tensor $A_{ij} = \partial u_i/\partial x_j$ (Tsinober, 2001).

We report the first attempts to use the particle tracking technique for studying the field of velocity derivatives and material elements. The nonintrusive nature of this method makes it especially suitable for this purpose.

FACILITY AND METHOD

A quasi-isotropic turbulent flow field is produced inside a $320 \times 320 \times 175\text{mm}^3$ water tank (figure 1). Two 4 by 4 arrays of cylindrical rare earth sintered strong permanent magnets (42mm in diameter) were mounted on the two opposite side walls of the tank. The magnets are arranged in such a way that positive and negative magnetic fluxes alternate, forming a chessboard pattern. Copper plates placed in front of each array serve as electrodes. The tank is filled with an aqueous copper sulphate ($\text{CuSO}_4$) test fluid. A DC electric current of 9A is applied and from the interaction of the current density, $\mathbf{j}$, with the magnetic field, $\mathbf{B}$, Lorentz forces, $\mathbf{f}_l$, are induced, according to $\mathbf{f}_l = \mathbf{j} \times \mathbf{B}$. They produce a non-oscillating swirling motion in the proximity of each magnet. Within a few seconds these circulations cause a three dimensional (3D) time dependent flow region with a front that quickly propagates towards the center resulting in a turbulent velocity field with zero mean flow and fluctuations, $u_i$, of order $O(0.01m/s)$, occupying the entire volume of the tank. The flow is seeded with neutrally buoyant particles of 40-60 microns in diameter. Four synchronized video cameras with a resolution of $480 \times 640$ pixels$^2$ are focused on a volume of $15 \times 20 \times 20\text{mm}^3$ and record frames at a rate of 30Hz over a time inter-
val $\tau = 30$ sec. A three dimensional Particle Tracking Velocimetry (3D-PTV) analysis of the images, extensively described in Stüer et al. (1999), determines the position of each particle using a stereometric method and links particles of consecutive images to trajectories.

From the 3D-PTV we get velocities, $\mathbf{u}$, and Lagrangian accelerations, $\mathbf{a}$, at random particle locations, $\mathbf{r}$, where trajectories range over five or more time steps. Using an interpolation scheme, which is based on a series of the M'4 formula (Monaghan 1985) the Lagrangian quantities $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{r})$ are interpolated on a regular grid of $16^3$ cells occupying a volume of $15^3$ mm$^3$ into a Eulerian frame of reference. This results in differentiable, interpolated and time dependent velocity and acceleration fields which are smooth in regions with low particle seeding density and well resolved down to the Kolmogorov scale, $\eta$, in regions where the particle seeding density is high enough. All results presented here are taken from points only that have a 'relative divergence', defined by expression (1), smaller than 0.1.

$$\frac{\text{div}\mathbf{u}}{|\partial u_1/\partial x_1| + |\partial u_2/\partial x_2| + |\partial u_3/\partial x_3|} < 0.1$$

Typically 15% (~600 grid points/time step) of the regular grid points and 15% (~40 particle points/time step) of the particle points meet this criteria. Results denoted with M'4 refer to the procedure described above, whereas the subscript PTV denotes results obtained directly from 3D-PTV.

**RESULTS**

We note here that all the following results are in good agreement - both qualitatively and in many ways quantitatively - with those known from other physical and numerical experiments, see Khomlyansky et al. (2001a, b) and Tsinober et al. (2001) and references therein.

**Accelerations and related matters**

In order to check the validity of the interpolation scheme we start with a comparison between Lagrangian velocities, $\mathbf{u}_{PTV}$, and accelerations, $\mathbf{a}_{PTV}$, obtained directly from 3D-PTV data and the same quantities, $\mathbf{u}_{M'4}$, $\mathbf{a}_{M'4}$, in the Eulerian frame of reference obtained from M'4 interpolation. Since the rate of dissipation of kinetic energy, $\varepsilon$, can be calculated from $\varepsilon = -\langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{a} \rangle$ (Ott and Mann 2000), one can expect that both, the probability density function (PDF) of $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{a}$ and the PDF of $\cos(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{a})$ are negatively skewed as it is clearly visible in figures 2 and 3. From the similar

![Figure 2: PDF of $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{a}$ showing a strongly non-Gaussian distribution.](image)

![Figure 3: PDF of $\cos(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a})$ for PTV and M'4 results.](image)

behavior of both PDF's reasonable agreement between PTV and M'4 results is concluded. Moreover, the PDF's of $\cos(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{a})$ show large parallel and anti-parallel alignments and relatively small correlations between $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{a}$. For these reasons we can, at best, hope for order of magnitude accuracy for the value of $\varepsilon$. Table 1 shows values for the dissipation rate evaluated from $-\langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{a} \rangle$ for PTV and M'4 compared with the value obtained from $2\nu \langle s_{ij}s_{ij} \rangle$ based on M'4, where $s_{ij} = 1/2(\partial u_i/\partial x_j + \partial u_j/\partial x_i)$ is the rate of strain tensor (see Tennekes and Lumley 1972).

From the average of these values, $\varepsilon \approx 5 \cdot 10^{-6}$, characteristic turbulence scales of the flow can be calculated, namely the Kolmogorov length and time scales, $\eta = (\nu^3/\varepsilon)^{1/4}$ and $\tau_\eta = (\nu/\varepsilon)^{1/2}$ as $\eta \approx 0.7\text{mm}$ and $\tau_\eta \approx 0.5\text{s}$ respectively, the Taylor microscale, $\lambda_t = (15\nu \langle u_i^2 \rangle/\varepsilon)^{1/2}$ as $\lambda_t \approx 7\text{mm}$ and with $u_0 = (1/3 \langle u_i^2 \rangle)^{1/2}$ as characteristic velocity, $u_0 = 0.01\text{m/s}$, we get the Taylor mi-
Table 1: Dissipation rate $\varepsilon$ evaluated from $-(ua)$ and $2u' \langle \dot{a}_i \dot{a}_j \rangle$.

crossscale Reynolds number, $Re_\lambda = \frac{u_0 \lambda}{\nu}$ as $Re_\lambda \approx 60$. The total (Lagrangian) acceleration, $a = Du/Dt$, can be expressed by the local acceleration, $a_i = \partial u_i / \partial t$, and the convective acceleration, $a_c = (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}$, in the Eulerian frame of reference. Figure 4 shows the PDF of the cosine of the angle between local and convective acceleration. The strong tendency for anti-alignment due to mutual (statistical) cancellation between $a_i$ and $a_c$ is seen quite clearly by the strong negative skew of the PDF. One

more manifestation of the strong cancellation between $a_i$ and $a_c$ is a smaller intensity and a distribution of $a$ more close to a Gaussian as compared to $a_i$ and $a_c$ (table 2, figure 5).

Table 2: Lagrangian, local and convective mean accelerations.

These results are in good agreement with those obtained in field experiments (Kholmyansky et al. 2001a,b) and via DNS (Tsinober et al. 2000).

Vorticity and strain related quantities and relations

The rate of strain tensor $s_{ij}$ can be expressed by its eigenvectors, $\lambda_i$, and their corresponding eigenvalues, $\Lambda_i$, where $\Lambda_1 > \Lambda_2 > \Lambda_3$. The PDF’s of the $\Lambda_i$ are shown in figure 6. The result is consistent with recent results obtained by Kholmyansky et al. (2001a,b) and references therein. We especially mention the typical PDF of the intermediate eigenvalue, $\Lambda_2$, which is distinctly positively skewed.

Figure 4: PDF of $\cos(a_1, a_2)$ showing strong anti-alignment between $a_1$ and $a_2$.

Figure 5: PDF of $a \cdot a_{\gamma T}^0$, $a_1 \cdot a_1$, $a_2 \cdot a_2$ and $a_3 \cdot a_3$.

Figure 6: PDF’s of the eigenvalues of the rate of strain tensor, $\lambda_1$, $\lambda_2$, $\lambda_3$ (showing the positively skewed distribution of $\lambda_2$).

Figure 7 shows the skewed PDF’s of enstrophy, $\omega^2$, production, with the vorticity of the velocity field, $\omega = \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$, and strain production terms, $\omega_i \omega_j s_{ij}$ and $-4/3 s_{ij} s_{jk} s_{kl}$.

Although they are skewed similarly, their relationship is nonlocal as is seen from their joint PDF/scatter plot (figure 8).

The so called $R-Q$ joint PDF/scatter plot is shown in figure 9.

Here, $Q = 1/4(\omega^2 - 2s^2)$, and $R = -1/3\{s_{ij}s_{jk}s_{ki} + (3/4)\omega_i \omega_j s_{ij}\}$ are the second and the third invariants of the velocity gradient tensor $\partial u_i / \partial x_k$. A typical ‘tear drop’ pattern, similar to that obtained from numerical simulations, e.g. Martin et al. (1998) and Chacin and Cantwell (2000), is obtained from the experimental data.

Vorticity versus strain

One of the basic manifestations of preferential vortex stretching over vortex compression is the positive rate of enstrophy production, $\langle \omega \omega_j s_{ij} \rangle > 0$, shown in figure 7. It results from the preferential alignment between $\omega$ and
the vortex stretching vector, \( \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{\omega}_t \mathbf{s}_{ij} \). Figure 10 shows that the PDF of \( \cos(\mathbf{\omega}, \mathbf{W}) \) is indeed strongly positively skewed. Moreover, the rate of enstrophy production, \( \omega_t \omega_s s_{ij} \), becomes stronger in regions of higher strain, \( s^2 > 2 \langle s^2 \rangle \) and it remains positive even in regions with low strain, \( s^2 < \langle s^2 \rangle \) in agreement with previous results, see Kholmyansky et al. (2001a,b) and references therein.

The alignments between vorticity, \( \mathbf{\omega} \), and the eigenframe, \( \mathbf{\lambda}_i \), of the rate of strain tensor \( s_{ij} \) are shown in figure 11 and again exhibit the well-known strong tendency for alignment between \( \mathbf{\omega} \) and the eigenvector \( \mathbf{\lambda}_2 \), corresponding to the intermediate eigenvalue, \( \lambda_2 \). The combined effect of the alignments between \( \mathbf{\omega} \) and \( \mathbf{\lambda}_i \) and the behavior of \( \lambda_1 \) leads to quite peculiar contributions to the mean enstrophy production \( \langle \omega_t \omega_s s_{ij} \rangle \) from the three terms associated with each \( \lambda_i \), \( \omega_t \omega_s s_{ij} = \omega^2 \lambda_k \cos^2(\omega, \lambda_k) \) (table 3).

The largest contribution to \( \langle \omega_t \omega_s s_{ij} \rangle \) is associated with the first term, \( \lambda_1 \), in spite of the preferential alignment between \( \mathbf{\omega} \) and \( \mathbf{\lambda}_2 \). This can be explained by the facts that the magnitude of \( \lambda_2 \) is much smaller than the magnitude of \( \lambda_1 \) and that the eigenvalue \( \lambda_2 \) takes both positive and negative values (figure 6) (Kholmyansky et al. 2001a). Similarly the largest contribution to vortex stretching \( \langle \mathbf{W}^2 \rangle \) comes also from the term associated with \( \lambda_1 \) (table 3).

**Material elements versus vorticity and strain.**

It is well known that there is a preferential material line stretching in any (not necessarily real, e.g. Gaussian) random velocity field, see references in Tsinober (2001). This is shown by the positive value of \( \langle r_t r_s s_{ij} / r^2 \rangle \) given in table 4. Also in table 4 the values for the rates of relative vortex line stretching are given for the mean, weak and high intensities of vorticity.
Figure 11: PDF's of the cosine of the angle between \( \omega \) and the eigenvectors \( \lambda_i \).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle \omega_i^2 \lambda_1 \cos^2(\omega, \lambda_1) \rangle & \langle \omega_i^2 \lambda_2 \cos^2(\omega, \lambda_2) \rangle & \langle \omega_i^2 \lambda_3 \cos^2(\omega, \lambda_3) \rangle \\
1.79 & 0.50 & -1.29 \\
\langle \omega_i^2 \lambda_2^2 \cos^2(\omega, \lambda_1) \rangle & \langle \omega_i^2 \lambda_2^2 \cos^2(\omega, \lambda_2) \rangle & \langle \omega_i^2 \lambda_2^2 \cos^2(\omega, \lambda_3) \rangle \\
0.50 & 0.11 & 0.39 \\
\end{array}
\]

Table 3: Contributions of terms associated with \( \lambda_i \) to mean enstrophy production and vortex stretching vector.

Table 4: Relative vortex and material line stretching.

As all values of table 4 are positive, the PDF's of the same quantities shown in figure 12 are also (slightly) positively skewed just like the PDF of \( \cos(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{W}^\top) \), \( W_i^\top = r_j s_{ij} \) shown in figure 13.

Moreover, the PDF's of \( r_j s_{ij} \) and \( \cos(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{W}^\top) \) show a distinct compression and stretching region and strong anti-parallel and parallel alignment respectively. Thus they differ significantly from the PDF's of \( s_i s_j \) and \( \cos(\omega, \mathbf{W}) \) which do not show this bimodal characteristic but have only a tendency towards stretching and parallel alignment.

A new aspect of material line stretching is shown in the PDF's of \( \cos(\mathbf{r}, \lambda_i) \) (figure 14). Strong tendencies for alignment are observed between \( \mathbf{r} \) and the eigenvectors \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_3 \). The two minima of the PDF's of \( \cos(\mathbf{r}, \lambda_1) \) and \( \cos(\mathbf{r}, \lambda_3) \) and the strong normal orientation between \( \mathbf{r} \) and \( \lambda_3 \) suggest an almost 'binary' state of \( \mathbf{r} \), being either stretched or compressed. This is in contrast with the alignment of \( \omega \) with the eigenframe \( \lambda_i \) shown in figure 11 where the strongest alignment is observed between \( \omega \) and \( \lambda_2 \).

Vortex lines are dynamically active. Their behavior is different from that of material lines, even when they are identical at one instant of time. A vortex line and a material line which initially are aligned, disalign faster than two material lines. With \( \alpha \) as the angle between a vortex line and a material line and \( \beta \) as the angle between two material lines respectively, this is demonstrated in figure 15 showing a stronger rate of change of \( \| D\alpha / Dt \| \), compared to the rate of change of \( \| D\beta / Dt \| \), regardless of the initial alignment.

**CONCLUDING REMARKS**

We have demonstrated that there is a large potential in using the 3D-PTV technique in studying rather subtle physical effects in turbulent flows associated with the field of velocity derivatives. The main difference as compared to 'conventional' approaches is that one goes in the 'reverse' direction starting with a Lagrangian raw data set and deducing from it the Eulerian flow properties. The Lagrangian starting point will allow a monitoring of geometrical relations and quantities, such as enstrophy, strain and their production rates, along particle trajectories, as well as tracing of vortex and material lines in time. This requires however a higher quality of the raw data. Therefore, the next task to be addressed in the
wake of this work is a more detailed classification and characterization of the formed particle clusters for which generally higher spatial resolutions can be obtained.
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